

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, WESTERN ZONE
BENCH, PUNE**

APPLICATION NO.36(THC) /2013

Prabhakar Pangavhane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.R. KINGAONKAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. AJAY A. DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER**

Present Applicant/ Appellant : Y.J.Kamat Adv
Respondent No.1,2,3 : D.M.Gupte Adv
: Ms Supriya Dangare Adv
Respondent Nos.5 & 6 : A.P. Akut Adv

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No.4 February 10, 2014 Order No.4	<p>We have heard learned Counsel for the Applicant and learned Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he want adjournment to seek amendment in the Application, because of the fact that consequently the Tahasildar has granted permission to the Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 to operate brick kilns. He may place on record such permission. We may consider the same as subsequent intervening development for which amendment is not necessary and as such, no such request for amendment will be entertained on that ground.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the MPCB seeks time to clarify whether the directions of the CPCB dated 4.6.2012, and clarification of the entries in the said directions pertaining to 'brick kilns' are applicable to the brick kilns of traditional nature i.e Clamp type (Country type) brick kilns (Bhatti). He should also clarify whether any particular emission standards are prescribed or likely to be prescribed and whether any consent is necessary for such kind of brick kilns from the MPCB. The clarification shall be given without fail before the next date. Since the scope of hearing is limited, we do not want to protract the matter anymore and fix it for final hearing on 17th February, 2014 at Serial No.1.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Stand over to 17th February, 2014.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....., JM (Justice V. R. Kingaonkar)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....., EM (Dr.Ajay A. Deshpande)</p>